arXiv:1404.5866v1 [math.NT] 23 Apr 2014

ON THE DENSITY OF INTEGER POINTS ON THE GENERALISED MARKOFF-HURWITZ AND DWORK HYPERSURFACES

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

Abstract. We use bounds of mixed character sums modulo a prime p to estimate the density of integer points on the hypersurface

$$f_1(x_1) + \ldots + f_n(x_n) = a x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_n^{k_n}$$

for some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, nonzero integer a and positive integers k_i $i = 1, \ldots, n$. In the case of

$$f_1(X) = \ldots = f_n(X) = X^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad k_1 = \ldots = k_n = 1$$

the above congruence is known as the Markoff-Hurwitz hypersurface, while for

$$f_1(X) = \ldots = f_n(X) = X^n \qquad \text{and} \qquad k_1 = \ldots = k_n = 1$$

it is known as the Dwork hypersurface. Our result is substantially stronger than those known for general supersurfaces.

1. Introduction

Studying the density of integer and rational points $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on hypersurfaces has always been an active area of research, where many rather involved methods have led to remarkable achievements, see [6, 7, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23] and references therein. More precisely, given a hypersurface

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$$

defined by a polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ in n variables, the goal is to estimate the number $N_F(\mathfrak{B})$ of solutions $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ that fall in a hypercube $\mathfrak{B}$ of the form

$$\mathfrak{B} = [u_1 + 1, u_1 + h] \times \ldots \times [u_n + 1, u_n + h]. \tag{1}$$

Unfortunately, even in the most favourable situation, the currently known general approaches lead only to a bound of the form $N_F(\mathfrak{B}) = O\left(h^{n-2+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ or even weaker, see [7, 13, 20, 21].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D45, 11D72, 11L40.

Key words and phrases. Integer points on hypersurfaces, multiplicative character sums.

For some special types of hypersurfaces the strongest known bounds are due Heath-Brown [12] and Marmon [18, 19]. For example, for hypercubes around the origin, Marmon [19] gives a bound of the form $N_F(\mathfrak{B}) = O\left(h^{n-4+\delta_n}\right)$ for a class of hypersurfaces, with some explicit function δ_n such that $\delta_n \sim 37/n$ as $n \to \infty$. Combining this bound with some previous results and methods, for a certain class of hypersurfaces, Marmon [19] also derives the bound $N_F(\mathfrak{B}) = O\left(h^{n-4+\delta_n} + h^{n-3+\varepsilon}\right)$ which holds for an arbitrary hypercube $\mathfrak{B}$ with any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and the implied constant that depends only of $\deg F$, n and ε (note that $\delta_n > 1$ for $n < 29$). We also remark that when the number of variables n is exponentially large compared to d and the highest degree form of F is non-singular, then the methods developed as the continuation of the work of Birch [4] lead to much stronger bounds, of essentially optimal order of magnitude.

Here, we show that in some interesting special cases, to which further developments of [4] do not apply (as the highest degree form is singular and the number of variables is not large enough) a modular approach leads to a bound of the form

$$N_F(\mathfrak{B}) = O\left(h^{n-4+15/(n-6)^{1/2}}\right)$$

for a sufficiently large n. We note that although this bound is of a similar shape as that of Mormon [19, Theorem 1.1] these results apply to very different classes of hypersurfaces (and as we have mentioned, the result of [19] applies only to hypercubes $\mathfrak{B}$ at the origin).

More precisely we concentrate on hypersurfaces of the form

$$f_1(x_1) + \ldots + f_n(x_n) = a x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_n^{k_n} \tag{2}$$

defined by some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, a non-zero integer a and positive integers k_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. In particula, we use $N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{B})$ to denote the number of integer solutions to (2) with $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$.

In the case of

$$f_1(X) = \ldots = f_n(X) = X^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad k_1 = \ldots = k_n = 1$$

the equation (2) defines the *Markoff-Hurwitz hypersurface*, see [1, 2, 3, 8], where various questions related to these hypersurfaces have been investigated.

Furthermore, for

$$f_1(X) = \ldots = f_n(X) = X^n \qquad \text{and} \qquad k_1 = \ldots = k_n = 1$$

the equation (2) is known as the *Dwork hypersurface*, which has been intensively studied by various authors [10, 11, 16, 17, 25], in particular, as an example of a *Calabi-Yau variety*.

Here, we use some ideas from [22] combined with some the results of [5] to show that if

$$\max \deg f_i \le D, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

and $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge$ are odd, then, for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge n_0(\varepsilon, D)$, where $n_0(\varepsilon, D)$ depends only on ε and D, for any hypercube $\mathfrak{B}$ of the form (1) we have

$$N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{B}) = O\left(h^{n-4+\varepsilon}\right)$$

uniformly over $u_1, \ldots, u_n$.

Throughout the paper, the implied constants in the symbols "O", "$\ll$" and "$\gg$" may depend on some positive real parameters ε and δ, the polynomials $\deg f_i$ and the exponents k_i in (2), $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We recall that the expressions $A = O(B)$, $A \ll B$ and $B \gg A$ are each equivalent to the statement that $|A| \le cB$ for some constant c.

2. Character and Exponential Sums

Here we fix some sufficiently large prime p and let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of multiplicative characters modulo p and let $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\chi_0\}$ be the set of non-principal characters (we set $\chi(0) = 0$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{X}$).

We also denote

$$\mathbf{e}(z) = \exp(2\pi i z/p).$$

We appeal to [15] for a background on the basic properties of multiplicative characters and exponential functions, such as orthogonality.

First we need the following well-know property of Gauss sums

$$G(\chi, \lambda) = \sum_{y=1}^{p-1} \chi(y)\, \mathbf{e}(\lambda y), \qquad \chi \in \mathcal{X},\ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p,$$

see [15, Section 3.4].

Lemma 1. *For any $\chi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have*

$$|G(\chi, \lambda)| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \chi = \chi_0,\ \lambda \ne 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } \chi \ne \chi_0,\ \lambda = 0, \\ p^{1/2}, & \text{for } \chi \ne \chi_0,\ \lambda \ne 0. \end{cases}$$

We also need a bound of exponential sums twisted with a multiplicative character has been given by D. R. Heath-Brown and Pierce [14] is an improvement of a recent resuly of Chang [9]. We present a result of [14] in a somewhat simplified form, which is sufficient for our applications.

Lemma 2. *There is a function $\kappa(z)$ with*

$$\lim_{z\to 0} \kappa(z)/z^2 = 1$$

such that for any $\chi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ of degree s and integers u and h with $p^{1/2} \ge h \ge p^{1/4+\delta}$, we have

$$\sum_{x=u+1}^{u+h} \chi(x)\, \mathbf{e}(F(x)) \ll hp^{-\kappa(\delta)}.$$

We note that we do not impose any conditions on the polynomial F in Lemma 2, which, in particular can be a constant polynomials (in which case, we also have the Burgess bound, of course, see [15, Theorem 12.6]).

3. Congruences with Products

For a prime p and integers $h \ge 3$, $\nu \ge 1$ and k, we denote by $I_{p,\nu}(u,h)$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$\begin{gathered}(x_1+u)\dots(x_\nu+u) \equiv (y_1+u)\dots(y_\nu+u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p,\\ 1 \le x_j, y_j \le h_j, \qquad j = 1,\dots,\nu.\end{gathered}$$

As usual, we use $\pi(T)$ to denote the number of primes $p \le T$.

We need the following estimate from [5]:

Lemma 3. *Let $\nu \ge 1$ be a fixed integer. Then for a sufficiently large positive integer $T \ge 3$, for all but $o(\pi(T))$ primes $p \le T$ and any integers u and $h < p$, we have the bound*

$$I_{p,\nu}(u,h) \le \left(h^\nu + h^{2\nu-1/2}p^{-1/2}\right) h^{o(1)}.$$

4. Main Result

We are now able to present our main result.

Theorem 4. *Let at least two of the polynomials $f_1(X),\dots,f_1(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be of positive degree and let $k_1,\dots,k_n \ge 1$ be odd integers. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(p,\mathfrak{B}) \ll h^{n-4+\varepsilon}$$

provided that

$$n \ge 225\varepsilon^{-2} + 6.$$

Proof. Let p be an arbitrary prime. Clearly

$$N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{B}) \le N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(p,\mathfrak{B}), \tag{3}$$

where $N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(p,\mathfrak{B})$ is the number of solutions to the congruence

$$f_1(x_1)+\ldots+f_n(x_n)\equiv ax_1^{k_1}\ldots x_n^{k_n} \pmod p \tag{4}$$

with $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathfrak{B}$.

It is clear that one can choose p in the interval

$$h^{4-\varepsilon}\le p\le 2h^{4-\varepsilon} \tag{5}$$

and also in the arithmetic progression

$$p\equiv 3 \pmod{k_1\ldots k_n} \tag{6}$$

for which the bound of Lemma 3 holds with $\nu=3$.

Note that since $k_1,\ldots,k_n$ are odd, the congruence (6) implies that

$$\gcd(k_1\ldots k_n,p-1)=1. \tag{7}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that the polynomials f_1 and f_2 are of positive degree. Further, we can also assume that p is sufficiently large so that $\gcd(a,p)=1$ and also the leading coefficients of the polynomials f_1 and f_2 are relatively prime to p, so the positivity of the degree is preserved.

We now proceed as in the proof of [22, Theorem 3.2]. Let

$$S_i(\chi;\lambda)=\sum_{x=u_i+1}^{u_i+h}\chi^{k_i}(x)\,\mathbf{e}\left(\lambda f_i(x)\right),\quad i=1,\ldots,n.$$

Then by [22, Equation (3.3)], under the condition (7), we have:

$$N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(p,\mathfrak{B})-\frac{h^n}{p}\ll\frac{1}{p^2}\left(R_1+R_2\right), \tag{8}$$

where

$$R_1=\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_p}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}^*}|\overline{G}(\chi,\lambda)|\prod_{i=1}^{n}|S_i(\chi,\lambda)|,$$

$$R_2=\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_p^*}|\overline{G}(\chi_0,\lambda)|\prod_{i=1}^{n}|S_i(\chi_0,\lambda)|,$$

and $\overline{G}(\chi,\lambda)$ is the complex conjugate of the Gauss sum.

To estimate R_1 we first use Lemmas 1 and 2 and infer that

$$R_1\le h^{n-6}p^{-(n-6)\kappa(\delta)+1/2}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_p}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}^*}\prod_{i=1}^{6}|S_i(\chi;\lambda)|,$$

where the function $\kappa(z)$ is as in Lemma 2 and δ is given

$$\delta=\frac{1}{4-\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{\varepsilon}{4(4-\varepsilon)}>\frac{\varepsilon}{16}. \tag{9}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}^*} \prod_{i=1}^{6} |S_i(\chi; \lambda)| \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}^*} |S_i(\chi; \lambda)|^6 \right)^{1/6}.$$

Now using the orthogonality of characters we see that

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}^*} |S_i(\chi; \lambda)|^6 \le \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}} |S_i(\chi; \lambda)|^6 = (p-1) I_{p,3}(u_i, h).$$

Applying the bound of Lemma 3, which is possible due to our choice of p, we derive

$$R_1 \ll h^{n-6+o(1)} p^{-(n-6)\kappa(\delta)+5/2} \left(h^3 + h^{11/2} p^{-1/2} \right).$$

We see that under the condition (5) we have $h^3 < h^{11/2} p^{-1/2}$ (provided ε is sufficiently small), hence the last bound simplifies as

$$R_1 \ll h^{n-1/2+o(1)} p^{-(n-6)\kappa(\delta)+2}. \tag{10}$$

For R_2 we proceed exactly as in the proof of [22, Theorem 3.3] and derive

$$R_2 \ll h^{n-1} p. \tag{11}$$

Indeed, it follows immediately from Lemma 1 and the trivial bound

$$|S_i(\chi_0; \lambda)| \le h, \qquad i = 3, \ldots, n,$$

that

$$R_2 \le \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |S_i(\chi_0, \lambda)| \le h^{n-2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} |S_1(\chi_0; \lambda)||S_2(\chi_0; \lambda)|.$$

Using the Cauchy inequality and the orthogonality of exponential functions, because the polynomials f_1 and f_2 are not constant modulo p, we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} |S_1(\chi_0; \lambda)||S_2(\chi_0; \lambda)|$$
$$\le \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} |S_1(\chi_0; \lambda)|^2 \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} |S_2(\chi_0; \lambda)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \ll ph,$$

which implies (11).

Substituting the bounds (10) and (11) in (8) we obtain

$$N_{a,\mathbf{f},\mathbf{k}}(p, \mathfrak{B}) = \frac{h^n}{p} + O\left(h^{n-1/2+o(1)} p^{-(n-6)\kappa(\delta)} + h^{n-1} p^{-1} \right). \tag{12}$$

Recalling (5), we see that

$$h^{n-1/2}p^{-(n-6)\kappa(\delta)} \ll h^n p^{-1}$$

provided that

$$n \geq \kappa(\delta)^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2(4-\varepsilon)}\right) + 6. \tag{13}$$

Hence, in this case, combining (3) and (12), we obtain the desired bound.

Clearly, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$1 - \frac{1}{2(4-\varepsilon)} < \frac{7}{8}.$$

Furthermore, we see from the property of the function $\kappa(z)$ and (9) that for a sufficiently small ε we see also

$$\kappa(\delta) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{257}.$$

The result now follows from (13). □

5. Comments

In Theorem 4 the restriction on n is chosen to guarantee the strongest possible bound $O(h^{n-4+\varepsilon})$ achieved within our approach. Certainly for smaller values of n, using other estimates from [14] instead of Lemma 2, one can still get bounds stronger than $O(h^{n-2})$ for smaller values of n (the choice of p has also to be modified too in order to achieve optimal results).

Clearly the strength of the bound $O(h^{n-4+\varepsilon})$ of Theorem 4 is the limit of our method, unless the range of h in Lemma 2 is expanded. However one can possibly hope to reduce the lower bound on the number of variables n. Furthermore, besides Lemma 2 it also depends on the strength of the bound in Lemma 3. Here in some cases one can do better. We essentially need to show the existence of a prime p in a dyadic interval $[T, 2T]$ with a small value of $I_{p,\nu}(u,h)$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{p\in[T,2T]} I_{p,\nu}(u,h) \leq (\pi(2T) - \pi(T))\, K_\nu(u,h) + \sum_{v_1,w_1,\dots,v_\nu,w_\nu=1}^{h} \omega\left(|v_1\dots v_\nu - w_1\dots w_\nu|\right),$$

where $K_\nu(u,h)$ is the number of integer solutions to the equation

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1+u)\ldots(x_\nu+u) &= (y_1+u)\ldots(y_\nu+u),\\ 1 \le x_j, y_j &\le h_j, \qquad j=1,\ldots,\nu,\end{aligned}$$

and $\omega(m)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of an integer m (where we set $\omega(1)=\omega(0)=0$). If u is not too large compared to h then this approach leads to a stronger result (we also refer to [5] for various bounds on $K_\nu(u,h)$). In particular, it is now easy to show that if $u = h^{O(1)}$ then

$$\frac{1}{(\pi(2T)-\pi(T))}\sum_{p\in[T,2T]} I_{p,\nu}(u,h) \le h^{\nu+o(1)} + h^{2\nu+o(1)}T^{-1+o(1)}.$$

It is also interesting to remove the condition on the parity of $k_1,\ldots,k_n$. If some of $k_1,\ldots,k_n$ are even that we take p to satisfy

$$p \equiv 3 \pmod{2k_1\ldots k_n}$$

instead of (6), and then instead of (7) we obtain

$$\gcd(k_i, p-1) \le 2.$$

We now have to consider separately the contribution from the quadratic character χ_2, namely,

$$R_3 = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_p} |\overline{G}(\chi_2,\lambda)| \prod_{i=1}^{n} |S_i(\chi_2,\lambda)|.$$

Clearly, if k_i is even that Lemma 2 does not apply to $|S_i(\chi_2,\lambda)|$. However, if f_i is a of degree $\deg f_i \ge 2$, one can use instead estimates of exponential sums with polynomials, for example, the bound of Wooley [24]. The strength of the final result obtained along these lines, depends on the various assumptions on the degrees of $f_1,\ldots,f_n$ and on the number of even integers among $k_1,\ldots,k_n$.

6. Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Roger Heath-Brown and Lillian Pierce for informing him about their work [14] when it was still in progress and then sending him a preliminary draft. The author is also grateful tp Oscar Marmon for many useful comments.

This work was supported in part by the ARC Grant DP130100237.

References

[1] A. Baragar, 'Asymptotic growth of Markoff-Hurwitz numbers', *Compositio Math.* , **94** (1994), 1–18.

[2] A. Baragar, 'The exponent for the Markoff-Hurwitz equations', *Pacific J. Math.*, **182** (1998), 1–21.

[3] A. Baragar, 'The Markoff-Hurwitz equations over number fields', *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **35** (2005), 695–712.

[4] B. J. Birch, 'Forms in many variables', *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, **265** (1961), 245–263.

[5] J. Bourgain, M. Z. Garaev, S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Multiplicative congruences with variables from short intervals', *J. d'Analyse Math.*, (to appear).

[6] T. D. Browning, *Quantitative arithmetic of projective varieties*, Progress in Mathem., vol. 277. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.

[7] T. D. Browning, R. Heath-Brown and P. Salberger, 'Counting rational points on algebraic varieties', *Duke Math. J.*, **132** (2006), 545–578.

[8] W. Cao, 'On generalized Markoff-Hurwitz-type equations over finite fields', *Acta Appl. Math.*, **112** (2010), 275–281.

[9] M.-C. Chang, 'An estimate of incomplete mixed character sums', *An Irregular Mind*, Bolyai Society Math. Studies, vol. 21, Springer, Berlin, 2010, 243–250.

[10] P. Goutet, 'An explicit factorisation of the zeta functions of Dwork hypersurfaces', *Acta Arith.*, **144** (2010), 241–261.

[11] M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron and R. Taylor, 'A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy', *Annals Math.*, **171** (2010), 779–813.

[12] D. R. Heath-Brown, 'The density of rational points on nonsingular hypersurfaces', *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, **104** (1994), 13–29.

[13] D. R. Heath-Brown and L. Pierce, 'Counting rational points on smooth cyclic covers', *J. Number Theory*, **132** (2012), 1741–1757.

[14] D. R. Heath-Brown and L. Pierce, 'Burgess bounds for short mixed character sums', *Preprint*, 2014, (available from `http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.1677`).

[15] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[16] N. M. Katz, 'Another look at the Dwork family', *Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry: In honor of Yu. I. Manin.*. Vol. II, Progr. Math., 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, 89–126,

[17] R. Kloosterman, 'The zeta function of monomial deformations of Fermat hypersurfaces', *Algebra Number Theory*, **1** (2007), 421–450.

[18] O. Marmon, 'The density of integral points on complete intersections', *Q. J. Math.*, **59** (2008), 29–53.

[19] O. Marmon, 'The density of integral points on hypersurfaces of degree at least four', *Acta Arith.*, **141** (2010), 211–240.

[20] P. Salberger, 'On the density of rational and integral points on algebraic varieties', *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **606** (2007), 123–147.

[21] P. Salberger, 'Counting rational points on projective varieties', *Preprint*, 2013.

[22] I. E. Shparlinski, 'On the distribution of points on the generalised Markoff-Hurwitz and Dwork hypersurfaces', *Intern. J. Number Theory*, (to appear).

[23] Y. Tschinkel, 'Algebraic varieties with many rational points', *Arithmetic Geometry*, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, 243–334.
[24] T. D. Wooley, 'Vinogradov's mean value theorem via efficient congruencing, II', *Duke Math. J.*, **162** (2013), 673–730.
[25] Y.-D. Yu, 'Variation of the unit root along the Dwork family of Calabi-Yau varieties', *Math. Ann.*, **343** (2009), 53–78.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au